

ENTERED

July 09, 2024

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JOSEPH JUVENTINO RANDALL,

Petitioner,

VS.

79TH DISTRICT COURT JIM WELLS
COUNTY,

Defendant.

§ § § § § § § § § § § § § § § §


CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:24-CV-00036

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge's memorandum and recommendation. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge's memorandum and recommendation (D.E. 23), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the petition for writ of habeas corpus (D.E. 1, 20) is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to exhaust available remedies. In the event that Petitioner requests a certificate of appealability, that request is **DENIED**.

ORDERED on July 9, 2024.


NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE